UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/505,356	08/19/2004	Gerald J Julien	Ice US1	5987
J. Michael Near	7590 02/11/200 <b>°V</b>	EXAMINER		
53939 Pine Gro	ve Road		WALTERS, JOHN DANIEL	
LaPine, OR 97739			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/11/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/505,356	JULIEN, GERALI	JULIEN, GERALD J				
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		JOHN D. WALTERS	3618					
The MAILING DATE of this Period for Reply	communication app	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence ac	ddress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROI - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date - If NO period for reply is specified above, the - Failure to reply within the set or extended pe Any reply received by the Office later than the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	M THE MAILING DA e provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 of this communication. maximum statutory period w riod for reply will, by statute, ree months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 66(a). In no event, however, may a rep rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAI	ATION.  If you be timely filed  If show the mailing date of this of the control o	·				
Status								
1) Responsive to communicat	ion(s) filed on 28 Fe	ebruary 2007						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	` '	action is non-final.						
<b>,</b> —	<i>′</i> —		rs, prosecution as to the	e merits is				
·— · ·	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	·							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-20 is/are	e nending in the anr	alication						
	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-20</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allow								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-20</u> is/are								
7) Claim(s) is/are object	-							
8) Claim(s) are subject		election requirement						
o) Claim(s) are subject	to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected	to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 J</u>	<u>une 2006</u> is/are: a)	☑ accepted or b)☐ object	ed to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that	any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. Certified copies of the	one of: e priority documents e priority documents d copies of the prior nternational Bureau	s have been received. s have been received in Appity ity documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National	l Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-4 and 6-20 have been examined. Claim 5 has been canceled by Applicant.

Finality of the previous Office Action has been rescinded based upon newly found prior art. An action on the merits based upon the newly found prior art follows.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6 – 10 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Julien (WO9729892). Julien discloses a material processing steps comprising:

- cutting a blank from a sheet of Type 60 Nitinol which has been hot worked at a temperature of about 900°C to 950°C (page 17, lines 14 – 30);
- heating to between 600°C to about 800°C and immediately quenching to ambient temperature producing a hardness of about 48 - 53Rc. (page 20, lines 6 – 17);
- grinding to a desired profile and sharpness (page 2, lines 12 21);

Application/Control Number: 10/505,356 Page 3

Art Unit: 3618

 heating to about 850°C to 1000°C and immediately quenching to produce a hardness of above 56Rc. (page 29, lines 32 and 33 to page 30, lines 1 – 15);

- wherein said grinding includes the use of a cubic boron nitrate grinding
   wheel (page 21, lines 1 7);
- heating to a temperature of about 700°C, placing between dies having a
  desired shape, i.e. flat, and holding for a period of at least 15 minutes
  (page 19, lines 24 34).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 – 4 and 13 – 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's disclosure in view of Abkowitz et al. (6,318,738) and Julien (WO9729892). Applicant's disclosure states that the following physical structures are "...conventional and are well known to those skilled in the art":

- a blade body having an ice contacting bottom edge (Fig. 1, item 30);
- said blade body having a structure for engaging a blade holder (Fig. 2, item 34);
- said bottom edge having opposed corners that are sharpened (Fig. 3);
- said blade holder being connected to a boot (Fig. 1).

Abkowitz discloses titanium composite skate blades comprising:

 a titanium material blade, defined as any of the following: pure titanium, titanium alloys, or titanium matrix composites (column 2, lines 41 – 44).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to combine the old and well known blade structure with the titanium material of Abkowitz in order to provide improved strength, corrosion resistance, wear resistance and reduced weight (column 1, lines 59 - 62).

Abkowitz does not specifically disclose the use of Type 60 Nitinol, however, Julien discloses a blade comprising:

 an inter-metallic compound of about 60% nickel and about 40% titanium by weight (abstract).

Applicant lists many standard physical properties for "Type 60 Nitinol" within claims 1-4 and 13-20. Each material selected would provide differing physical properties, as these properties are dependent upon the physical and chemical structure of each individual material. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to make use of the material of Julien with the old and well known skate blade made from a titanium material, as taught by Abkowitz, in order to provide corrosion resistance, hardness, toughness and flexibility, (page 2 of 17, paragraph 10).

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Julien (WO9729892). Julien discloses a material processing steps as described within the

rejections under 35 USC 102. Julian does not disclose the use of rapid quenching in coolant after holding in a shaping press. Julian does, however, disclose that once Type 60 Nitinol is plastically deformed under heat and clamped into a die, the part must drop to below 400°C before the part will hold shape. Julian allows this to happen via the part dispersing heat to the ambient environment, i.e. using air as a coolant. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the instant invention was made to make use of a coolant bath to provide quicker and more efficient cooling of said part in an effort to bring it below 400°C in as rapidly as safe for the material. This would provide quicker cycle times for the shaping press machinery and better throughput for part assembly.

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 4 and 13 - 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

- Buehler et al. (3,174,851) disclose nickel-base alloys;
- Sahatjian (4,909,510) discloses a ports racquet netting;
- Murai (4,952,044) discloses a metallic eyeglass frame and method for making the same;

Application/Control Number: 10/505,356 Page 6

Art Unit: 3618

 Wood (5,776,214) discloses a method for making abrasive grain and abrasive articles;

- Carpenter et al. (6,149,742) disclose a process for conditioning shape memory alloys;
- Johnson et al. (6,266,914) disclose spinner-type fishing lures and wire and cable fishing leaders.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN D. WALTERS whose telephone number is (571)272-8269. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher Ellis can be reached on (571) 272-6914. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/505,356 Page 7

Art Unit: 3618

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Christopher P Ellis/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3618 John D. Walters Examiner Art Unit 3618

/J. D. W./ Examiner, Art Unit 3618